

## **Appendix 4B General Public Submissions List**



| File Reference  | Comment   |
|-----------------|---|
| RN04250-12-6478 | The N5 Constraints Questionnaire was completed but no comments.   |
| RN04250-12-6502 | Landowner is happy with the Preferred Route for the N5 as long as he is adequately compensated for loss or damage to property.  |
| RN04250-12-6503 | Concerns were raised regarding the preferred Corridor on the grounds of Visual Obtrusion, Noise Pollution, Security, Health and Safety, Access and the Study Area being based south of Elphin.  |
| RN04250-12-6504 | Landowners want to be kept informed of developments   |
| RN04250-12-6520 | Landowner is in favour of the Preferred Corridor  |
| RN04250-12-6522 | Landowner has planning permission outside the Study Area (07860).   |
| RN04250-12-6523 | Concern regarding house, farmyard and part of farm which are within the corridor at Bumlin Townland   |
| RN04250-12-6535 | Concerns regarding the 'Back Road', which is a local amenity area for walking, being crossed twice by the Corridor. He proposes a bridge or tunnel be provided.   |
| RN04250-12-6554 | Landowner concerned that his land will be decided in two. If so he will require an underpass. His lands are covered in water 6 months of the year and is a sanctuary for wildlife. He has highlighted that there are two swallow holes draining the land. He is also concerned about water and drainage as water comes to within 20ft of his dwelling at the moment.  |
| RN04250-12-6555 | Landowner concerned about the extent of impact on his land and sheds. He would prefer if the road would go below his sheds and buildings towards Ballyoughter Bridge. He would also like to be contacted when a decision has been made on the route.  |
| RN04250-12-6556 | Concerns raised regarding 1) people losing their homes, 2) noise and pollution (as she suffers from Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease).   |
| RN04250-12-6557 | Questionnaire completed with no comments  |
| RN04250-12-6558 | Landowners were disappointed that they were not contacted in person with regards to the positioning of the preferred Corridor through their land. They are concerned about the impact the road will have on the productivity of the farm and do not want disruption, noise or pollution from the road.  |
| RN04250-12-6568 | Landowner concerned regarding the amount of his land within the corridor that could potentially be lost. Feels that the route did not take account of disruption to established houses and businesses. Suggests a route from tibohine through Cloggarnagh- Mullen would be more appropriate.  |
| RN04250-12-6569 | Landowner concerned regarding access from new N5 to existing business on old N5 and impact on family lands and potential sites in the Sheepwalk area.   |
| RN04250-12-6570 | Concern regarding the impact on 'Into the West' Restaurant and the ability to repay loan for purchasing said business if bypass goes ahead. The Restaurant relies on passing trade.   |
| RN04250-12-6585 | Landowner requested Landowners maps North of Strokestown (Lavalley Area)  |
| Rn04250-12-6586 | Concerns regarding 1) division of Farms in area of Carrigeenacreeha and Mullenduff therefore leaving them unviable to work, 2) lack of information to landowners building agricultural buildings, 3) impact on brothers milk production business, 4) impact on dwellings recently granted planning permission, 5) impact on local school, crèche facility and historic buildings and 5) social impact on businesses in local villages depending on passing trade. |
| RN04250-12-6587 | Landowner agrees with upgrading the current N5  |
| RN04250-12-6588 | Owens land on South side of Preferred Corridor. Should the alignment be to the south of the corridor land will be divided. Would prefer alignment to be to the north of owned land.   |
| RN04250-12-6589 | In support of the new Road.   |
| RN04250-12-6590 | Concerned in relation to position of new road as they have two young toddlers, just build a new house, own land in the area and are currently building a new shed. Would prefer if road was positioned slightly north of Mullenduff as there are less houses and sheds to affect in that area.  |
| RN04250-12-6591 | As with RN04250-12-6535.  |
| RN04250-12-6592 | Objects to the proposed road as she has a site with full planning permission within the corridor.   |
| RN04250-12-6593 | Wishes to be informed of the exact location of the route.   |
| RN04250-12-6594 | Concerns regarding landholding of 127acres being within the Preferred Corridor. The landowner breeds purebred Hereford Bulls and is concerned of the effects on this family tradition and its viability. There are 4-5 ringforts within the landholding. It is suggested that the route be to the North of the Corridor near Clooncullaugh Lough.   |

| File Reference  | Comment   |
|-----------------|---|
| RN04250-12-6599 | Concern regarding timeframe for get planning permission on a site bought in 2005. Also concerned about upheaval, inconvenience, hassle, dust and noise. Suggested that the road be as far as possible from the main population areas of Killeen East, Tullyloyd and Ballyoughter using the existing local hill in the landscape to act as a barrier against noise.                |
| RN04250-12-6600 | Landowner requires animal pass and underpass if the road goes through his land.   |
| RN04250-12-6601 | Concerned about dwelling house 200metres within the corridor and access to turbury rights. They would prefer if the road was placed to the edge of the corridor away from their dwelling. They are disappointed with available information regarding the timeframe for the completion of the road.  |
| RN04250-12-6602 | Concerns regarding cottage, 13 acres and Turbury rights.  |
| RN04250-12-6603 | Concerns regarding screening of traffic and traffic noise. Suggests trees should be used for this.  |
| RN04250-12-6604 | Concern regarding noise, pollution and hassle during the construction of the road as their property is 400yards from corridor. Also the local lane that may possibly give access to the new N5 is insufficient.   |
| RN04250-12-6605 | Objects strongly as they are four elderly people stressed as a result of the proposed road and also they have built a dwelling and have extensive landscaping to encourage wildlife which may be impacted on.   |
| RN04250-12-6606 | Concern in relation to impact on haulage business and impacts on the environment. They advise that if the road impacts their property they demand underground access for livestock, cars and lorries.   |
| RN04250-12-6607 | Concern in relation to the existing road drainage problems and the possibility that this problem will be exacerbated with the construction of the new road.   |
| RN04250-12-6608 | Concerned regarding the impact of the road on their farm holding, the community and the environment at Clooneiffer. Suggested the road be moved closer to the existing N5.  |
| RN04250-12-6609 | Would like more information regarding scheme.   |
| RN04250-12-6610 | Concerned regarding devaluation of property, environmental and Archaeological impacts to the area, contamination of water supplies and all-round lack of information to the general public.   |
| RN04250-12-6611 | Concerned regarding planning Permission for slatted shed on his land within corridor. To avail of grant the shed needs to be completed by the end of 2008.  |
| RN04250-12-6612 | Concerns regarding 1) lack of information, 2) access to school for children, 3) danger of a busy road to his child suffering from ADHD and noise. Recommends that the road go through the family home rather than near it.  |
| RN04250-12-6613 | Informs that 95% of land is within the Corridor. If the road were to divide this landholding: gates, 2 tunnels and a new water supply would be required. Recommends that road be kept as far North or South as possible.  |
| RN04250-12-6614 | Concerned that the road may impact dwelling. Feels that the Corridor width is unrealistic and seeks clarification on proposals.   |
| RN04250-12-6615 | Requires more detailed map of Ballanagare/Frenchpark area.  |
| RN04250-12-6616 | Concern regarding impact on 1) farm as it is a drystock enterprise, 2) archaeological site in corridor and 3) access from Portaghard road to Sheepwalk Road.  |
| RN04250-12-6617 | Concern relating to the positioning of the proposed road in relation to their house, dust, fumes and noise during construction.   |
| RN04250-12-6625 | Comments submitted by solicitors in relation to compensation required should lands be purchased by CPO.   |
| RN04250-12-6626 | Concerns relating to the impact on 1) his farming enterprise consisting of 130 suckler cows and 250 ewes and his ability to manage the herd on both sides of the road as his farm would be divided 2) the private residence, 3) noise, dirt and dust disturbance during construction, 4) the families enjoyment/privacy of residence and land and 5) capital gains tax liability. |
| RN04250-12-6627 | Concern in relation to impact on the Cregga hills and his line of sight. It is suggested that noise should be kept to a minimum.  |
| RN04250-12-6628 | Would like to receive further information on the proposed development.  |
| RN04250-12-6634 | Would like to receive further information on the proposed development. Expressed concern that disturbance and noise pollution be kept to a minimum  |
| RN04250-12-6635 | Concern regarding proximity of road to dwelling and potential decrease in value of property.  |
| RN04250-12-6636 | Would like further information regarding the development.   |

| File Reference  | Comment   |
|-----------------|---|
| RN04250-12-6637 | Supports the upgrade of the road but requires that it be at least 500 yards from dwelling, all existing roads link to the new road, noise barriers be erected and trees planted.  |
| RN04250-12-6638 | Concerned regarding impact on property, lake access and standard of living since purchasing property two and a half years ago. Advises that during the winter months thick dense fog covers the area near the local lake in Tullyloyd.  |
| RN04250-12-6639 | Opposed to the development and requires more information regarding the development.   |
| RN04250-12-6640 | Unable to comment until further detailed information is available   |
| RN04250-12-6641 | Objects to the development encroaching her dwelling as she is in bad health and has spent a lot of money on the property. Concerned in relation to impact on Clooncollane Lake.   |
| RN04250-12-6643 | Concerned regarding the adverse impacts this development would have e.g. property becoming a target for theft, welfare and security of children playing in the garden would be reduced, health of residents reduced due to pollution and intolerable noise levels. Should the road run adjacent to the property the owner requires various mitigation measures. |
| RN04250-12-6647 | Concerned regarding impact on farm and noise implications.  |
| RN04250-12-6648 | Concerned regarding impact in family home in relation to privacy and devaluation. Suggests the road should not include a new bridge at Bumlin as it would be a waste of tax payers money.   |
| RN04250-12-6649 | Concerned regarding impact on 1) his own and his parents dwelling, 2) the value of property, 3) planning permissions for his sister and brother 4) access to the N5. More details in relation to the design of the road is needed. Disappointment was expressed in relation to available information and notification of Public Consultations.                  |
| RN04250-12-6650 | Concerns regarding degree of impact on family land as landowner is a full time farmer and livelihood depends on it. (representation from Denis Naughton TD)   |
| RN04250-12-6651 | Concerns regarding degree of impact on family land as landowner is a full time farmer and livelihood depends on it. (representation from Senator Frank Feighan)   |
| RN04250-12-6652 | Renting at moment and in the process of applying for planning, however site is within corridor they are concerned regarding their future.   |
| RN04250-12-6653 | Concern regarding impact on family farm and business, on sites for planning permission and on family life. Concerned regarding safety of family and staff.  |
| RN04250-12-6654 | Concern regarding impact on family home and farm.   |
| RN04250-12-6655 | Concerned regarding impact on family home and farm as he intends to take over the farm in the near future. Also concerned as he has been gifted a site and wishes to build in the near future and is concerned regarding his future plans.  |
| RN04250-12-6656 | Concerned regarding impact on family home and farm and also his transport business.   |
| RN04250-12-6657 | Concerns regarding impact on 1) family home and land, 2) family life, 3) value of property, 4) family business 5) safety of them their children and grandchildren, 6) access to N5 and impact on sites gifted to children for development of family houses. They are disappointed that landowners were not contacted individually.                              |
| RN04250-12-6658 | Concern regarding the impact on the family run business by the proposed road both during construction and on completion.  |
| RN04250-12-6659 | Have received planning permission for a development which includes the construction of a new access road on to the R368. The developer would like a junction on to the proposed New N5.   |
| RN04250-12-6660 | Has received planning permission and wishes to start construction, however he is concerned that on completion of the dwelling it may be acquired due to the construction of the road. Suggests that the road be moved further to the east in the Lisroyne area.   |
| RN04250-12-6662 | Concerns regarding 1) impact of new Road on family home, 2) mitigation measures, 3) safety of children 4) possibility of having to relocate and loss of privacy and peace.  |
| RN04250-12-6663 | Concerned regarding the impact of the proposed road on the farming practice and development potential of the land. Would the council view a planning application on this land even though it is inside the corridor?  |
| RN04250-12-6664 | Would like to receive more detailed information.  |
| RN04250-12-6665 | Concerned that 1) farm will be divided in two and will no longer be viable to farm and 2) grand-niece and grand-nephew will be unable to obtain planning permission on his land. Requires assurance that planning permission can be obtained and that connection to severed land will be given.   |
| RN04250-12-6666 | Concerned regarding plans to develop a farm house in the corridor.  |

| File Reference  | Comment  |
|-----------------|--|
| RN04250-12-6667 | Concerns regarding impact on two existing dwellings in relation to value, access and disturbance due to the construction of the new road.  |
| RN04250-12-6668 | Elderly couple concerned about impact on their home as they don't want to move.  |
| RN04250-12-6669 | Require more information.  |
| RN04250-12-6670 | Concerned regarding the impact on 1) the family farm, 2) the safety of their children, 3) their views and access to the lake. They are anxious that there would be consultation during the road design process.  |
| RN04250-12-6671 | Suggested that the road be kept as close as possible to the River in Lurgan.   |
| RN04250-12-6672 | Concerned regarding the impact of the road on the family home, farm and access to Clooncullane Lake.   |
| RN04250-12-6673 | Require additional information.  |
| RN04250-12-6674 | Concerns in relation to the following 1) access to local towns, 2) access to farmlands, 3) division of farmlands and access to the severed areas, 4) noise barriers, 5) road surface and privacy should road be located to the back of the house.  |
| RN04250-12-6675 | Concerns regarding impact on quiet tranquil, unpolluted area. Also concerned regarding flood levels on the proposed route and impact on views of Clooncullane lake they currently enjoy.   |
| RN04250-12-6676 | Moved from Dublin away from pollution, noise and motorways, are now concerned regarding safety of their children if this road goes near or through them. Land to the rear of the property is elevated and owners are concerned that this may be very dangerous in the event of accidents on the road. Carried out a planning search for developments prior to building a house in 2004 and were not notified of any possibly upgrade of the N5. They stated that small communities should be preserved and protected equally to archaeology. |
| RN04250-12-6677 | Would like more information regarding scheme before commenting.  |
| RN04250-12-6678 | Would like more information regarding scheme before commenting.  |
| RN04250-12-6679 | Concerned regarding impact on 1) derelict house they intended renovating as holiday home, 2) percolation area for dwelling house located in corridor, 3) prehistoric ringfort. Request that a slip road be provided from Clooncullaan to the N5, reduction of noise pollution, planting of trees and concrete post and rails fences erected along the stretch of road from Cregga to Tullyloyd.  |
| RN04250-12-6680 | Would like more information regarding scheme before commenting.  |
| RN04250-12-6681 | Concerned regarding increased noise levels with new road and require details on distance of corridor from their house. Highlighted concerns regarding two dangerous junctions on the existing N5 - Portahard and In to the West.   |
| RN04250-12-6682 | Disappointed regarding lack on information to the general public regarding this corridor. Have paid a lot of money to build at this quiet, peaceful location and they believe it is going to be destroyed. Concerned regarding 1) splitting village in two, increased traffic using quiet laneways. They have a selection of wildlife on their property and are concerned about the impact on them.  |
| RN04250-12-6683 | Would like more information regarding scheme before commenting.  |
| RN04250-12-6684 | Fulltime Landowner with excess of 100 hectares are disappointed regarding lack of information and siting of public consultation. Are concerned regarding 1) severing of land, 2) increased time tending stock, 3) stock handling facilities, 4) access to lands and roads, 5) compromised income and devaluation of property, 6) effects on water supply and quality, impact on flora and fauna, 7) removal of natural shelter belts and impact on potential sites.  |
| RN04250-12-6685 | Concerns regarding access to Bog   |
| RN04250-12-6686 | Concerns regarding impact on Strokestown Park in particular the church and priests Bog and surrounding parkland, the elevation from the house and the plans for restoration of the parklands.  |
| RN04250-12-6687 | Disappointed regarding lack on information to the general public regarding this corridor.  |
| RN04250-12-6688 | Will be seeking legal advise.  |
| RN04250-12-6689 | Would like the road to be kept as far as possible from dwelling and farm and if not would require adequate compensation.   |
| RN04250-12-6690 | Questionnaire completed with no comments   |
| RN04250-12-6691 | Would prefer if the road was kept as far away from family lands and home as possible and cause minimum disruption. Concerned regarding noise pollution, air pollution and disruption to the environmental.   |

| File Reference  | Comment   |
|-----------------|---|
| RN04250-12-6706 | Has planning permission for dwelling house and slatted shed within corridor and is concerned in relation to their development. Is also concerned regarding 1) reduction in farm size or division, 2) noise pollution and safety for children, 3) obstructing the natural beauty of the area and reducing future housing developments. Would like to see a definite timeframe set to aid this landowners future plans. |
| RN04250-12-6707 | Needs further information and is disappointed in relation to the lack of information available.   |
| RN04250-12-6708 | Concerned regarding impact on business and livelihood. Would like to have continued access to the N5 and ensure the new route would not have a negative impact on his business as he depends a lot on passing trade.  |
| RN04250-12-6709 | Concerned regarding impact on land and states that a water supply would need to be maintained and noise pollution minimised. Disappointed regarding lack of information.  |
| RN04250-12-6710 | Concerned regarding impact on lands farmed both inside and outside the corridor. This landowner is developing his farm yard with the construction of a slatted shed and is worried regarding restriction of movement of cattle or possible diversion. Suggests that the corridor be to the north in the townland of Corrideenagoppal - Carrigeenynaughtan.  |
| RN04250-12-6711 | Has not received enough information. Concerned regarding the disruption to the tranquility and safety in the area.  |
| RN04250-12-6712 | Requires more information.  |
| RN04250-12-6713 | Residents of Clooncullaan and Cregga suggest 1) that both townlands have direct access to the new N5 via slip roads, 2) that both sides of the N5 be fenced with concrete posts and wire and 3) and natural barrier of trees be planted along the sides of the N5 or an artificial bank/acoustic wall be erected and possibly the use of noise reducing tarmac.   |
| RN04250-12-6714 | Concerned regarding the impact the road would have on 1) the park at Ballyoughter (The park contains several mature species of trees, bats and animals) 2), Ballyoughter bridge and his own property due to the increase in air and noise pollution and increased traffic.  |
| RN04250-12-6715 | Impact on farm would sever land holding and reduce family income.   |
| RN04250-12-6716 | Questionnaire completed with no comment.  |
| RN04250-12-6717 | Comments made at a meeting - 1) insufficient time to fill in questionnaires and lack of information regarding position of road. Attendees at the meeting requested a draft location of the road and sufficient time to seek legal advise and individual correspondence to affected landowners within the corridor.  |
| RN04250-12-6725 | Require more information.   |
| RN04250-12-6726 | Concerned regarding the impact the road will have on his farm and sheds in relation to the ability to continuing farming, making a living off it and the devaluation of it.   |
| RN04250-12-6727 | No comment as he has little information to comment on.  |
| RN04250-12-6728 | No comment as he has little information to comment on.  |
| RN04250-12-6729 | Should land parcels be divided tunnel access across the road will be required. The road should avoid dwelling houses so as not to disturb peace and natural tranquility of the Clooncullaan area. Expressed concern in relation to the bus service to the local towns. Requires further information regarding location of road.   |
| RN04250-12-6730 | Disappointed that they were not contacted in person with regards to the location of the proposed road. They applied for planning permission in 2005 and were not informed of any development of the N5. They are concerned regarding the safety of the young child near a national Route and do not wish to move from their new home.   |
| RN04250-12-6731 | Landowner wants spring wells on property to be protected  |
| RN04250-12-6732 | Request information as to the exact location of the road through clients land.  |
| RN04250-12-6746 | Concerned regarding impact of route on the saleability of the house, quality of life and health. Noise and air pollution would effect an asthma sufferer in the family. They suggested that the route be situated to the east of Ballaghcullia or 350 - 400 mts west/southwest of their property.   |
| RN04250-12-6747 | They would prefer if the route were 1km to the east of their property as the pollution would affect a band of approximately 1km which would be detrimental to the health of an asthma sufferer in their home.They suggested that the route be situated to the east of Ballaghcullia or 350 - 400 meters west/southwest of their property.   |
| RN04250-12-6748 | Suggest that the road be kept 100 meters from their site in order to avoid air and noise pollution caused by the road. Concerned that they maybe cut off from the rest of the village.  |

| File Reference  | Comment   |
|-----------------|---|
| RN04250-12-6753 | Concerned regarding a section of land between Culliagh Upper and Scramoge Cross measuring 820m. This will interfere with Kilishen Homes Ltd development in the area and they seek a speedy reducing in the corridor size. |
| RN04250-12-6820 | Requires €50,000 prior to any negotiations to CPO his land, should it be required.  |
| RN04250-12-6850 | Does not agree with the current route of the Corridor as it runs through his land thus devaluing it.  |
| RN04250-12-6866 | Concerned regarding impact on family residence as she is an elderly person that does not want to be relocated.  |
| RN04250-12-6867 | Questionnaire completed with no comment   |
| RN04250-12-6914 | Representation from Michael Finneran T.D for landowner in Clooneybrennan Td.  |
| RN04250-12-6916 | Representation from Michael Finneran T.D for landowner in Curbane Td.   |
| RN04250-12-6917 | Representation from Michael Finneran T.D for landowner in Lurgan Td.  |
| RN04250-12-7219 | Landowner has no problems with the preferred route  |
| RN04250-12-7326 | Concerns regarding 22 acres of woodland in Cloonadoon that maybe impacted on. They would require access from New N5 to this wood and also safe cycle tracks.  |